

SO393

GUIDED LITERATURE REVIEW

This sample unit outline is provided by CHC for prospective and current students to assist with unit selection.

Elements of this outline which may change with subsequent offerings of the unit include Content, Required Texts, Recommended Readings and details of the Assessment Tasks.

Students who are currently enrolled in this unit should obtain the outline for the relevant semester from the unit lecturer.

| Unit code | 50202 |
|---|--|
| Onit code | SO393 |
| Unit name | Guided Literature Review |
| Associated higher education awards | Bachelor of Applied Social Science |
| Duration | One semester |
| Level | Advanced |
| Core/Elective | Elective Required for Coursework stream |
| Weighting | Unit credit points: 10 Course credit points: 240 |
| Student workload | Coordinator contact hours Reading, research, preparation, and writing TOTAL External Coordinator contact hours Reading, research, preparation, and writing TOTAL 150 hours 20 hours 150 hours 150 hours 150 hours TOTAL Students requiring additional English language support are expected to undertake an additional one hour per week. |
| Delivery mode | Face-to-face on site External |
| Prerequisites/ Corequisites/ Restrictions | Prerequisite SO222 Research Methods |
| Rationale | This unit gives students the opportunity to develop a literature review and begin to explore research questions while considering an area of personal or professional interest related to their discipline. In the development of a literature review a student may well be better equipped to understand the themes developing in a particular area of applied social sciences. It will also build towards a more solid foundation for future research and also preparation for SO394 Extended Self Directed Project. This unit thereby adds both breadth and depth to a student's program of study, as well as increases their options for future employment in specialised areas of research and practice. |
| Prescribed text(s) | Machi, L.A., & McEvoy, B. (2012). <i>The literature review: Six steps to success</i> (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press. |

Recommended **Books** readings American Psychological Association (2009). Publication manual of the American Psychological Association (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author. Galvan, J. (2012). Writing literature reviews: A quide for students of students of the social and behavioural sciences (5th ed.). Los Angeles, CA: Pyrczak. Moore, B.N., & Parker, R. (2011). Critical thinking (8th ed.). Boston, MA: McGraw Hill. Oliver, P. (2012). Succeeding with your literature review: A handbook for students. New York, NY: Open University Press. **Journals** Community Development Journal Journal of Community Practice Journal of Progressive Human Services Journal of Social Work Practice In addition to the resources above, students should have access to a Bible, preferably a modern translation such as The Holy Bible: The New International Version 2011 (NIV 2011) or The Holy Bible: New King James Version (NKJV). These and other translations may be accessed free on-line at http://www.biblegateway.com. The Bible app from LifeChurch.tv is also available free for smart phones and tablet devices. Specialist resource Nil requirements The literature review: purpose, definition and process Content 2. Selecting a topic 3. Ethics of research 4. Searching the literature 5. Developing the argument 6. Surveying the literature 7. Critqueing the literature 8. Ethics submission 9. Consultation 10 Writing the draft literature review 11. Consultation 12. Writing the literature review On completion of this unit, students will have demonstrated that they have: **Learning outcomes** 1. understood and reflected upon the literature relevant to the proposed research topic in terms of methodological, empirical and theoretical constructs, as well as Christian worldview implications; 2. synthesised the body of literature examined into a holistic report; 3. discriminated between credible literature and that which is less relevant in order to identify seminal works, areas of agreement and controversy, and research gaps; 4. demonstrated an understanding of research methodologies and approaches used by researchers in their field of research; 5. evaluated ethical dimensions of research and developed an ethics proposal in accordance with the requirements of the CHC Ethics process and Christian worldview values and

beliefs; and

style, referencing, and presentation.

6. communicated at an appropriate tertiary standard with special attention to correct

grammars, punctuation, spelling, vocabulary, usage, sentence structure, logical relations,

Assessment tasks Task 1: Proposal Prepare a study proposal with a clear research question positioned within a social sciences discipline area. Word Length/Duration: 1,500 words Weighting: 20% **Learning Outcomes:** 1, 2, 4-6 Assessed: Week 4 Task 2: CHC Expedited Ethics Review Application A completed Ethics Application Form for submission to the CHC Expedited Ethics Review Panel will be submitted as a part of this unit. Word Length/Duration: As required by the ethics submission form Weighting: Pass/Fail **Learning Outcomes:** 5, 6 Assessed: Week 10 **Task 3: Literature Review** Discuss, synthesise, analyse, and draw conclusions from a broad range of sources related to the research topic. Word Length/Duration: 4,000 words 80% Weighting: Learning Outcomes: 1-6 Assessed: Week 13 This unit requires students to prepare a critical review of the literature on a specific topic, **Unit summary** write a proposal that includes their methodological framework, and to identify suitable research questions for investigation. The unit prepares students for SO394 Extended Self Directed Project.